

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,889	02/02/2001	Abdulrauf Hafeez	P12509-US1 SC	8806
26615	7590 10/27/2003		EXAMINER	
HARRITY & SNYDER, LLP			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRFAX, VA 22030			2631	41
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3 *		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/773,889	HAFEEZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin M Burd	2631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	December to the state of the st					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 November 2001</u> .					
2a)☐	<i>,</i> —	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8-18,20-23 and 25-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4-7,19 and 24 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔀	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2001</u> is/are:					
44)[] -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2631

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 2/2/2001 and 11/15/2001 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-17, 20-23 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bottomley et al (US 5,889,827).

Regarding claim 1, Bottomley discloses a system comprising a pulse-shaping filter (abstract and column 2, lines 11-27). The pulse-shaping filter receives symbols that are known (training symbols) (column 8, line 56 to column 9, line 13). The filtered signal is mixed down to base band and sampled (column 1, lines 51-55). The samples are used to determine the transmission medium response as well as the response associated with the receive filter (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 2, the pulse-shaping filter is a transmit pulse shaping filter, a receive pulse-shaping filter or both (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 3, Bottomley discloses the received symbols are known in the receiver (column 4, lines 17-30).

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 8, the receiver of figure 1 is a mobile unit (column 1, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim 9, the error is calculated, squared and used to correct the receiver (column 2, lines 1-10).

Regarding claim 10, Bottomley discloses using updated coefficients for demodulating the received symbols (column 4, lines 17-38).

Regarding claim 11, Bottomley discloses a method for calculating the medium response (impulse response) and the response of the receive filter (column 4, lines 17-30). The method comprises receiving the signal, mixing the signal to base band, sampling the signal (column 1, lines 51-55). A response of the filter is measured (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 12, the system can be used in a TDMA system (column 1, lines 26-33).

Regarding claim 13, Bottomley discloses the received symbols are known in the receiver (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 14, Bottomley discloses using updated coefficients for demodulating the received symbols (column 4, lines 17-38).

Regarding claim 15, the recovered signal is the output of the demodulator.

Regarding claim 16, every pulse-shaping filter is adjusted during manufacturing process.

Regarding claim 17, calculating the response of the filter is done in the demodulation process (column 4, lines 17-30).

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claims 20, 28, 30 and 31, Bottomley discloses a system comprising a pulse-shaping filter (abstract and column 2, lines 11-27). The pulse-shaping filter receives symbols that are known (training symbols) (column 8, line 56 to column 9, line 13). The filtered signal is mixed down to base band and sampled (column 1, lines 51-55). The samples are used to determine the transmission medium response as well as the response associated with the receive filter (column 4, lines 17-30). A channel estimation is done to calculate coefficients (column 4, lines 17-30) and Bottomley discloses using updated coefficients for demodulating the received symbols (column 4, lines 17-38).

Regarding claims 21 and 29, the pulse-shaping filter is a transmit pulse shaping filter, a receive pulse-shaping filter or both (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 22, Bottomley discloses the received symbols are known in the receiver (column 4, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 23, the system can be used in a TDMA system (column 1, lines 26-33).

Regarding claim 27, the receiver of figure 1 is a mobile unit (column 1, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim 32, the error is calculated, squared and used to correct the receiver (column 2, lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottomley et al (US 5,889,827) in view of Schollhorn (US 6,438,567).

Regarding claim 18, Bottomley discloses the method stated above in paragraph 2. Bottomley does not disclose an interpolator for increasing the effective sampling rate. Schollhorn discloses using an interpolator to increase an effective sampling rate for an impulse response of a filter (column 2, lines 32-47). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the interpolator of Schollhorn in the method of Bottomley. By increasing the effective sampling rate, the precision is increased since more samples are taken.

4. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bottomley et al (US 5,889,827).

Regarding claim 25, Bottomley discloses the system stated above in paragraph 2. Bottomley does not disclose a synchronizer for synchronizing the sampler and the pulse-shaping filter. However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to synchronize all the components of the receiver to one

Art Unit: 2631

Page 6

prepared to process the output of the upstream elements at the appropriate time.

another. The circuit speed would be increased since each downstream device would be

Regarding claim 26, Bottomley further discloses receiving the signal, mixing the

signal to base band, sampling the signal (column 1, lines 51-55).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-7, 19 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. ERICSSON INC. (WO 00/13383) discloses a method of

calculating channel response using pulse-shaping filters.

Contact Information

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry or for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or

"DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Burd, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7034. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Kevin M. Burd

PATENT EXAMINER

10/20/03